Fevipiprant, a Selective Prostaglandin D₂ Receptor 2 Antagonist, Potently Inhibits Chemotaxis and Cytokine **Production by Group 2 Innate Lymphoid Cells**

Luzheng Xue^a, Clare Hardman^b, Wentao Chen^a, Paul Batty^a, Jian Luo^a, Yanqiu Wu^a, Graham Ogg^b, Veit J Erpenbeck^c and David A Sandham^d ^aRespiratory Medicine Unit, University of Oxford, United Kingdom; ^bMedical Research Council Human Immunology Unit, University of Oxford, United Kingdom; ^cNovartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland; ^dNovartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Cambridge MA, United States

Introduction

- Activation of the group 2 innate lymphoid cell (ILC2) population leads to production of classical type 2 cytokines, promoting type 2 immunity¹. Increased ILC2 levels are found in blood and sputum of severe eosinophilic asthmatics in comparison to mild asthma patients². Such elevated ILC2 levels are hypothesized to drive the chronic eosinophilia associated with severe asthma
- Prostaglandin D₂ (PGD₂) is produced mainly by activated mast cells and is increased in asthma, with highest levels in patients with severe disease³. PGD₂ is also increased in response to allergen challenge⁴
- The PGD₂ receptor 2 (DP₂; previously known as CRTh2) is expressed on adaptive and innate immune cells such as eosinophils, CD4⁺ Th2 cells, and ILC2. The DP₂ receptor plays a key role in the pathophysiology of asthma: activation induces and amplifies the inflammatory cascade⁵
- PGD₂ binding to DP₂ induces ILC2 migration and production of type 2 cytokines such as IL 4, IL 5 and IL 13, in addition to other cytokines⁶
- Fevipiprant, a potent selective antagonist of the DP₂ receptor^{7,8}, reduces eosinophilic airway inflammation in patients with persistent asthma and high sputum eosinophil counts⁹. Fevipiprant is in Phase 3 development as once daily oral treatment of uncontrolled asthma
- Here we characterize the inhibitory effects Fevipiprant on a variety DP₂ pathway mediated ILC2 activation parameters

Methods

- ILC2 cells were isolated and cultured from peripheral blood mononuclear cells derived from healthy volunteers as previously described¹⁰
- The dose-response inhibitory effect of Fevipiprant on PGD₂ induced ILC2 chemotaxis (Figure 1) was determined in a transwell assay using Incucyte live cell analysis
- The inhibitory effect of Fevipiprant on PGD₂ induced ILC2 apoptosis suppression (Figure 2) was determined using Annexin V staining
- The inhibitory effect of Fevipiprant on PGD₂ induced ILC2 cell aggregation (Figure 3A) was recorded under an EVOS Floid cell imaging station, and on adhesion molecule expression (Figure 3B) was determined with qPCR
- The dose-response inhibitory effect of Fevipiprant on PGD₂ induced cytokine production (Figures 4 and 5) was determined at the protein level using ELISA (IL 4, IL 5 and IL 13) and Luminex (IL 8, GMCSF and CSF1) and at the mRNA level by Quantitative RT-PCR
- For migration and cytokine production assays, BW245C (DP₁ receptor agonist), BW868C (DP1 antagonist) and TM30089 (DP2 antagonist) were used as positive and negative controls
- Data are presented as means with SEM. Data were analysed using one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey's test. Values of p<0.05 were considered statistically significant

Results

Figure 1. Fevipiprant inhibits DP₂-mediated ILC2 migration

Fevipiprant (1 µM) BW245C (1 µM)

BW868C (1 µM)

(A) ILC2 migration towards PGD₂ (200nM) was measured in the presence or absence of Fevipiprant (1 μ M) or controls, BW245C (1 μM), BW868C (1 μM) or TM30089 (1 μM). (B) ILC2 migration towards 200nM PGD₂ was measured in the presence of increasing concentrations of Fevipiprant. ****p<0.0001, n=10.

Fevipiprant



Fevipiprant (1 µM)

The frequency of Annexin V positive ILC2, induced by deprivation of IL 2 and human serum for 16 h, in the absence or presence of PGD₂ (1 μ M) or Fevipiprant (1 μ M) was measured by flow cytometry. *p<0.05, n=5.





(A) Cell aggregation was observed by microscopy of ILC2 after incubation with or without 200 nM PGD₂ in the presence of increasing concentrations of Fevipiprant for 2 h. (B) Levels of mRNA for Icam1 and Pecam1 in ILC2 treated with 200 nM PGD₂ in the presence of increasing concentration of Fevipiprant for 4 h was measured by qPCR. n=3.



Figure 2. The suppressive effect of PGD₂ on ILC2 apoptosis is reversed by



Figure 3. Fevipiprant inhibits PGD₂ induced cell aggregation and adhesion molecule expression of ILC2

Figure 4. Fevipiprant inhibits DP₂-mediated ILC2 type-2 cytokine production



The effect of Fevipiprant (1 µM) or controls, BW245C (1 µM), BW868C (1 µM) or TM30089 (1 µM) on levels of IL 4, IL 5 and IL 13 mRNA in ILC2 was measured by qPCR (A) and IL 4, IL 5 and IL 13 protein released by ILC2 (B) on incubation with PGD₂ (200 nM) was measured by ELISA (left panels). Right panels show effects of ILC2 incubation with 200nM PGD₂ in the presence of increasing concentrations of Fevipiprant. *p<0.05, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, n=8 except n=4 for IL 4 mRNA and n=6 for IL 5 mRNA.



Figure 5. Fevipiprant inhibits DP₂-mediated ILC2 proinflammatory cytokine production

The effect of Fevipiprant (1 µM) or controls, BW245C (1 µM), BW868C (1 µM) or TM30089 (1 µM) on levels of IL 8, GMCSF and CSF1 mRNA in ILC2 was measured by qPCR (A) and IL 8, GM-CSF and CSF1 protein released by ILC2 (B) on incubation with PGD₂ (200 nM) was measured by Luminex (left panels). Right panels show effects of ILC2 incubation with 200nM PGD₂ in the presence of increasing concentrations of Fevipiprant. *p<0.05, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, n=3.

415





Conclusions

- Fevipiprant is a potent inhibitor of DP₂ pathway mediated activation of ILC2
- The inhibitory potency on ILC2 migration and cytokine release is comparable with previous data generated for inhibition of PGD₂ induced cytokine release from CD4⁺ Th2 cells and also with the DP₂ receptor affinity
- Given the emerging important role of ILC2 in asthma, these data support further development of Fevipiprant in this indication and complement prior in vitro characterization data obtained with eosinophils and CD4⁺ Th2 cells^{7,8}

References

- . Neill DR. et al. *Nature* 2010:464:1367–70
- 2. Smith SG, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2016;137:75–86
- 3. Fajt LM, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013;131:1504–1512
- 4. Murray JJ, et al. *N Engl J Med* 1986:315:800–804
- 5. Kupczyk M and Kuna P. *Drugs* 2017;77:1281–1294
- 6. Xue L, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2014;133:1184–1194
- 7. Sykes DA, et al. *Mol Pharmacol* 2016:89:593–605
- 8. Sandham DA, et al. ACS Med Chem Lett 2017;8:582-586.
- 9. Gonem D, et al. Lancet Respir Med 2016;4:699–707
- 10. Hinks TSC, et al. Am J Resp Cell Mol Biol 2017;57:745-747

Disclosures

D.A. Sandham and V.J. Erpenbeck are current employees of Novartis

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Vivek Khanna and Santanu Bhadra (Novartis) for assistance in preparation of this poster Copyright © 2018 Novartis Pharma AG. All rights reserved The study was funded by Novartis Pharmaceuticals

Poster presented at the American Thoracic Society International Conference, 18–23 May, 2018, San Diego, California, USA

Scan this QR code

Copies of this poster obtained through QR (Quick Response) code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without written permission of the authors

FEVIPIPRANT